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Salience phenomena put an element from a linguistic or visual message forward ("pop-up" effect):

⇒ Which research fields are concerned by salience?
⇒ What are the factors of salience?

Salience as a multifaceted concept:

⇒ What are the relations between the various facets of salience?
⇒ Is it still possible to characterize salience as an unified concept?

Many uses, many categories, many distinctions:

⇒ visual salience vs. internal salience (psychology) → salience vs. relevance
⇒ cognitive salience vs. ontological salience; entrenchment vs. salience (cognitive linguistics, cf. Schmid 2010)
⇒ prosodic salience vs. semantic/pragmatic salience (linguistics and natural language processing, cf. Zhang et al. 2006)
⇒ procedural salience vs. sensory salience (computer science, cf. Ruksenas et al. 2008)
Definitions

Perceptive entry point

- An entity that is **visually** salient stands out as a priority when we perceive a visual scene, so that it becomes important with cognitive concerns (so that it attracts attention and distracts from other visual elements).

- An entity that is **linguistically** salient stands out as a priority when we understand an utterance, so that it becomes important with cognitive concerns (so that it attracts attention and directs our reaction or answer on it).

- **Salience** = degree of attention to perceived entities.

- **Salience factors** = perceptivity (identifiability), natural simplicity, brightness, stability, originality (new entity).

Cognitive entry point

- **Salience** = degree of attention to entities that are mentally represented.

- **Salience factors** = focus of attention, familiarity, accessibility, and disturbing, curious, enigmatic aspects (problematic entity).
Concerning visual perception

- **Origin** = theories on colours and forms, Gestalt criteria for perceptual grouping… (Diderot, Goethe, Itten and Bauhaus, Wertheimer 1923)
- Salience models in (machine) vision (Itti 1998, 2000, 2001…)

Concerning language

- **Origin** = works on anaphora resolution (Sidner 1979, Grosz and Sidner, Centering Theory in 1995, Stevenson & Poesio 2001)
- Works on information structure, with the notions of focus, theme, topic…
**First movement:** salience is one of the constitutive criteria for the definition of a new (emerging) linguistic notion

- Ariel (1990) = salience is one of the four constitutive criteria of the notion of referential accessibility
- Chafe (1994) = salience is one of the 3 constitutive criteria of the notion of identifiability

**Second movement** (current works on reference and discourse): salience results from a number of factors

- Grosz and Sidner (1986), Centering Theory (1995), etc.
- Issues in corpus linguistics: annotating all the factors (and not salience feelings)
- Issues in natural language processing: machine learning techniques in order to assign weights to factors and to compute the resulting salience scores
Salience seems to be more global than the notions from information structure works, and than visual aspects and linguistic aspects

⇒ we operate in the second movement
⇒ we consider salience as a general cognitive mechanism, like invariance or optimization in Gestalttheorie
⇒ we study and characterize visual salience and linguistic salience in parallel
⇒ first result: identification of generic factors, that fit well with visual concerns as well as linguistic concerns
⇒ second result: identification of four dimensions for salience studies

Visual salience and linguistic salience can be exploited simultaneously in NLP applications

⇒ same methods for the resolution of anaphora and exophora
⇒ same importance in Human-Machine Dialogue (Kelleher 2004, 2005…)
A first depiction of salience

Visual or linguistic entity

Primordiality principle:

⇒ the loudest = the most salient
⇒ the most recent = the most salient
⇒ the most important = the most salient
⇒ salience is linked to importance (or primordiality)
⇒ for instance, the discourse entity with the most important thematic role is considered as the most salient entity

Singularity principle:

⇒ the only entity that is red is salient
⇒ the only entity that is isolated is salient
⇒ the best salience is linked to the highest number of singularities
## A generic characterization of salience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salience factor</th>
<th>Visual example</th>
<th>Linguistic example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intrinsicness</td>
<td>big size</td>
<td>proper name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>explicit emphasis</td>
<td>brightness</td>
<td>cleft sentence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strategic place</td>
<td>rule of thirds</td>
<td>beginning of the sentence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isolation</td>
<td>isolated object</td>
<td>apposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>repetition</td>
<td>spatial regularity</td>
<td>repetition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>symmetry</td>
<td>spatial symmetry</td>
<td>chiasmus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>break in continuity</td>
<td>out a linear disposition</td>
<td>speaking cadence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implicit rule violation</td>
<td>incongruous disposition</td>
<td>(deliberate) error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compliance with a standard</td>
<td>classic composition</td>
<td>usual syntactic construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>message structuring</td>
<td>guideline</td>
<td>focus, theme…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>physiological predisposition</td>
<td>fovea</td>
<td>loud speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attentional predisposition</td>
<td>focus space</td>
<td>cocktail party effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>affective predisposition</td>
<td>known face</td>
<td>concept with connotations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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First dimension = prior versus new

Prior salience
- exploiting an existing salience
- typical examples: production of a linguistic utterance based on a prior visual salience (at a theatre: “he is very good” when an actor is put forward for instance by the lights) or a prior linguistic salience (“he is very good” after “I like the main actor”)
- prior salience brings an antecedent for anaphora resolution

New salience
- putting forward a new entity
- typical example: use of a cleft sentence (“it is the main actor that I prefer”) or a particular syntactic construction (topicalization, particularly in French)
- new salience plays a role of preparation to a future action
Units:  
- visible objects for visual salience  
- discourse entities for linguistics salience

Visual salience factors

- salience linked to luminosity (density) and colour contrasts  
  (Itten 1985; Baticle 1985; Ho-Phuoc et al. 2012)

- salience linked to a singularity in a set of objects  
  - category and physical characteristics (form, colour, size, texture)  
  - orientation, dynamics  
  - isolation vs. member of a perceptual group

- salience linked to the scene cohesion and structuring  
  - explicit emphasis (lighting)  
  - dedicated construction (photographic composition, Freeman 1989)  
  - strategic place (thirds of the frame, golden ratio)  
  - perspectives, balances (vanishing points, balance point)  
  - repetitions and symmetries
Formal linguistic factors of salience

Salience that is **intrinsic to the words**

Particular graphemes or phonemes. Some words because of their nature (e.g. proper nouns, cf. Garrod & Sanford 1988). Indexicals because they bring back to the situation.

Salience due to an **explicit emphasis**


Salience due to a particular **syntactic construction**

Presentational cleft constructions or topic constructions (“it is … that …”). (Lambrecht 1994).

Salience linked to **word order** and frequency

Privileged positions in the sentence: the beginning (Kessler et al. 1996), the end. Repetition of a word or noun phrase. Presence of a symmetry (Stevenson 2002).

Salience linked to **grammatical functions**

Subject > direct object > indirect object > other functions. Vocatives.
Semantic linguistic factors of salience

Salience linked to **lexical semantics**

Importance of some semantic features such as “human” or “animate” (Pattabhiraman 1993). Influences between the discourse entities (teacher > pupil, Wanner & Bateman 1990).

Salience linked to **verbal semantics** *(thematic roles)*

Importance of the agent, the patient, the theme. Considering the semantics of the verb (aspect, semantic category, number and nature of its arguments), the agent may be more salient than the patient or the contrary (Stevenson et al. 1994, Pearson et al. 2001, Garvey & Caramazza 1974).

Salience linked to **sentence semantics** *(theme, sentence topic)*

Theme / topic > rheme / comment (but not always and some of the previous parameters have to be integrated here, e.g. subject and/or first position).

Salience linked to **discourse semantics** *(discourse topic, aboutness)*

Introduction of the topic using a long and explicit noun phrase which has not the subject function (Wolters 2001). Aggregates of discourse entities (macrostructures, cf. van Dijk).

Salience linked to **cognitive semantics** *(inferences)*

Explicitness > implicitness. Foreground > background (presupposition). Considering the context, given > new, or new > given…
Salience linked to the form

- some salience factors have a physical evidence (physical characteristics for a visual element, lexical and syntactic characteristics for a linguistic element)

- then we talk about physical salience or P-salience

- P-salience relies on objective indications (only P-salience can be computed in natural language processing or machine vision applications)

Salience linked to the content

- some salience factors are linked to cognitive aspects (salience linked to intention, attention, short-term memory, long-term memory, emotions…)

- then we talk about cognitive salience or C-salience

- evaluating C-salience can be done on the basis of hypotheses on mental states of the considered speaker
Fourth dimension = effect duration

Salience with an immediate effect
- one communicative act
  (one picture, one linguistic utterance or pragmatic unit)
- one privileged entity (theme) + a ground or commentary (rheme)
- visual example: advertising…
- linguistic example: one proposition

Salience with an (incremental) continuous effect
- several communicative acts
  (film, comic book, discourse, conversation)
- discourse topic
- hierarchic structures: macrostructures, superstructures
Future works

- We still need a theory of salience…
- based on a variety of factors
- that makes links between visual, linguistic, and cognitive aspects

- psycholinguistic experimentations to test some factors
- corpus studies
- etc.